**TREE EVALUATION METHOD FOR PRESERVATION ORDERS (TEMPO):**

**SURVEY DATA SHEET & DECISION GUIDE**

Date: 25/02/19    Surveyor: Catherine Nuttgens

**Tree details**
TPO Ref: 431    Tree/Group No: 1    Species: *Abies alba* (Silver Fir).
Owner (if known): Mr Anthony Riddle
Location: 9 Ranmoor Crescent, S10 3GU

**Part 1: Amenity assessment**
**a) Condition & suitability for TPO:**
Refer to Guidance Note for definitions

5) Good            Highly suitable
3) Fair            Suitable
1) Poor            Unlikely to be suitable
0) Dead/Dying/Dangerous        Unsuitable

**Score & Notes:** 5 – Good. Tree in good overall health, form and vitality with no visible defects. Tree is in an elevated position in bed above a path, but the size and condition of the tree indicate that the roots spread well beyond this small bed, and the tree is secured as a result. There is no evidence of decay or root plate movement

\* Relates to existing context and is intended to apply to severe irremediable defects only

**b) Remaining longevity (in years) & suitability for TPO:**
Refer to 'Species Guide' section in Guidance Note

5) 100+            Highly suitable
4) 40-100        Very suitable
2) 20-40        Suitable
1) 10-20        Just suitable
0) <10            Unsuitable

**Score & Notes**
2 – 20-40 years is a conservative estimate for this species at its current age, however the urban environment may shorten its longevity.

*Includes trees which are an existing or near future nuisance, including those <u>clearly</u> outgrowing their context, or which are significantly negating the potential of other trees of better quality

**c) Relative public visibility & suitability for TPO:**
Consider realistic potential for future visibility with changed land use; refer to Guidance Note

5) Very large trees, or large trees that are prominent landscape features    Highly suitable
4) Large trees, or medium trees clearly visible to the public    Suitable
3) Medium trees, or larger trees with limited view only    Just suitable
2) Small trees, or larger trees visible only with difficulty    Unlikely to be suitable
1) Young, v. small, or trees not visible to the public, regardless of size    Probably unsuitable

**Score & Notes**
4 – In an elevated position on Ranmoor Cresent. Clearly visible from the road and the surrounding area.

**d) Other factors**
Trees must have accrued 7 or more points (with no zero score) to qualify

5) Principal components of arboricultural features, or veteran trees
4) Members of groups of trees important for their cohesion
3) Trees with identifiable historic, commemorative or habitat importance
2) Trees of particularly good form, especially if rare or unusual
1) Trees with none of the above additional redeeming features

**Score & Notes**
2 – This is a particularly fine specimen with good form that is rarely found in horticultural situations

**Part 2: Expediency assessment**
Trees must have accrued 9 or more points to qualify; refer to Guidance Note

5) Known threat to tree
3) Foreseeable threat to tree
2) Perceived threat to tree
1) Precautionary only
0) Tree known to be an actionable nuisance

**Score & Notes**
5 – section 211 notice of felling received

**Part 3: Decision guide**

Any 0            Do not apply TPO
1-6            TPO indefensible
7-10            Does not merit TPO
11-14            TPO defensible
15+            Definitely merits TPO

**Add Scores for Total:**
18

**Decision:**
Definitely merits TPO

This page is intentionally left blank